

# AS **Mathematics**

MS1B Statistics 1B Final Mark Scheme

6360 June 2017

Version/Stage: v1.0

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

# Key to mark scheme abbreviations

M	mark is for method
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method
Α	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy
Е	mark is for explanation
√or ft or F	follow through from previous incorrect result
CAO	correct answer only
CSO	correct solution only
AWFW	anything which falls within
AWRT	anything which rounds to
ACF	any correct form
AG	answer given
SC	special case
OE	or equivalent
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
−x EE	deduct x marks for each error
NMS	no method shown
PI	possibly implied
SCA	substantially correct approach
С	candidate
sf	significant figure(s)
dp	decimal place(s)

#### No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

#### **General Notes for MS1B**

- **GN1** There is no allowance for misreads (MR) or miscopies (MC) unless specifically stated in a question.
- **GN2** In general, a correct answer (to accuracy required) without working scores full marks but an incorrect answer (or an answer not to required accuracy) scores no marks.
- **GN3** In general, a correct answer (to accuracy required) without units scores full marks.
- **GN4** When applying AWFW, a slightly inaccurate numerical answer that is subsequently rounded to fall within the accepted range cannot be awarded full marks.
- Where percentage equivalent answers are permitted in a question, then penalise by **one accuracy mark** at the first **correct** answer but only if no indication of percentage (eg %) is shown.
- **GN6** In questions involving probabilities, do **not** award **accuracy** marks for answers given in the form of a ratio or odds such as 13/47 given as 13:47 or 13:34.
- **GN7** Accept decimal answers, providing that they have **at least two** leading zeros, in the form  $c \times 10^{-n}$  (eg 0.00321 as  $3.21 \times 10^{-3}$ ).
- GN8 Where a candidate's response to a part of a question is simply to label the part (eg (d)(i)) with nothing else (ie no attempt at a solution), then this is still treated as a response and marked as 0 rather than NR. Also, deleted work, if not replaced, should be marked and not treated as NR.

## **Specific Notes for MS1B**

## 1. Question 1

In part(a), the equation is NOT required but, if simply quoted, can score marks.

# 2. Question 2

In part (b), for example, "LCL < 25 < UCL so agree (with claim)" scores 2 marks.

## 3. Question 3

In parts (b) & (c), note the ranges if using Bdep1, Bdep1 and Mdep1.

#### 4. Ouestion 4

In part (c), equivalent contextual explanations to "positive" and "negative" are NOT acceptable.

## 5. **Question 5**

In part (b)(i), be aware of Note 3 in part (b)(i) when then marking part (b)(ii)(B).

## 6. Question 6

In part (b)(i), the Special Cases are by no means rare events!

## 7. Question 7

In part (a)(iii), note the stricter conditions for the awarding of the method marks. In part(b)(ii), there is NO follow-through from part(b)(i).

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments	
1 (a)	$b \text{ (gradient/slope)} = \frac{44725}{89375}$	M1		Can be implied by a <b>correct</b> answer for <i>b</i>	
	$b \text{ (gradient/slope)} = \underline{0.5(00) \text{ to } 0.501}$	A1		AWFW (0.50042)	
	$a \text{ (intercept)} = 83 - b \times 162.5$				
	$a  ext{ (intercept)} = 1.58  ext{ to } 1.78$	A1	3	AWFW (1.68182)	
Note	1 For fractional answers only accept $b$ (gradient/slope) = $\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{789}{575}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ f		$a  ext{ (intercept)} = \frac{37}{22} \text{ or } 1\frac{15}{22} \text{ or } \frac{7}{4} \text{ or } 1\frac{3}{4} \text{ for A1}$	
(b) (i)	y(175) = 89  to  90	B1		AWFW (89.25524)	
Note	1 If a and b are interchanged, then y(175) = 277 to 307 2 Do NOT accept fractional answers here	⇒ B0			
(ii)	4 m > 3 m or 400 cm > 300 cm or 4 m > 300 cm or 400 cm > 3 m	B1		Correct comparison quoting units	
	or  (a) 4 m or 400 cm is (b) above/out(side)/extrapolation of the (c) x-heights/x-values/x-data (set)/x-range/ observed x-values/ [25 (cm) to 300 cm (OE)] range or interval	(B1)		Must include <b>one</b> (OE) from <b>each</b> of the <b>three</b> lists (a), (b) & (c) <b>and quoting units</b> Allow "drop(ped)" instead of "x"	
Notes	<ol> <li>For example, "4 &gt; 3" or "4 &gt; 300 cm" ⇒ B0</li> <li>For example, "4 m is above observed x-values" ⇒ B1</li> <li>Answers using (a), (b) &amp; (c) must give a clear comparison between 4 m (OE) and observed x-values (OE)</li> <li>For example, "4 is outside x-heights" or "400 cm is outside the heights" ⇒ B0</li> <li>At 4 m / &gt;300 cm, air resistance or forces may have effect/change/invalidate equation ⇒ B1</li> </ol>				
	The same of the sa		2		
		Total	5		

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments	
2					
(a)	Sample is random	B1		Must include both emboldened words	
	<b>Durations</b> are <b>normal</b> (ly distributed)	B1		Must include both emboldened words	
Notes	1 "Sample (data/values/durations/(telephone) calls) is random and is normally distributed"   2 "Durations (of (telephone) calls) are random and normally distributed"   BO B1  3 "Length of calls are independent and normal(ly distributed)"   BO B1				
	$95\% (0.95) \implies z = 1.96$	B1		AWRT (1.95996)	
	Mean / $\bar{x} = 21.5$	B1		CAO (Ignore notation)	
	CI for $\mu$ is: $(20.5 \text{ to } 22.5 \text{ AWFW}) \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1.96 \text{ AWRT} \\ \text{or} \\ 1.64 \text{ to } 1.65 \text{ AWFW} \end{pmatrix} \times \frac{7.5}{\sqrt{10}}$	M2,1 (-1 ee)		M0 if CI is not an interval <b>or</b> is not of the form $\overline{x} \pm z \times \frac{7.5}{\sqrt{10}}$	
	Hence $21.5 \pm (4.6 \text{ to } 4.7)$			CAO/AWFW (21.5 $\pm$ 4.64855)	
	or	Adep1		Dependent on award of M2	
	(16.8 to 16.9, 26.1 to 26.2)		7	AWFW (16.85, 26.15)	
Notes	1 If award of M0 is followed by a numerically correct CI = 2 Use of z-value of 1.28 to 1.29 or 2.05 to 2.06, 2.32 to 2.3. 3 Use of $s^2(n-1) = 96.11$ , $s(n-1) = 9.98$ , $s^2(n) = 89.65$ or $s(n-1) = 9.98$ , $s(n$	3 or 2.57 to	ons $2.58 \Rightarrow 1$	error but any other $z/t$ values $\Rightarrow$ M0	
(b)	Clear correct comparison of 25 with			Statement must include reference to 25 F on CI providing it <b>includes</b> 25	
	CI in (a) (eg 25 is within CI or interval)	BF1		Must have found an <b>interval</b> in (a) but quoting values for CI or CLs is <b>not</b> required <b>Ignore additional statements</b> such as those in Notes 3 & 4 below	
		BF1 Bdep1		Must have found an <b>interval</b> in (a) but quoting values for CI or CLs is <b>not</b> required <b>Ignore additional statements</b> such as those in	
Notes	Agree with or accept claim or Claim is (likely to be) true/correct/right/valid/accurate/supported/ reasonable/possible  1 Statement must clearly indicate that "25 is within the in 2 Statements of the form "25 is below the UCL" or "25 3 Statements of the form "It/this/mean/value/duration/minute 4 Statements of the form "25 is within 95% of the data/val	Bdep1 terval" OE is above the es/(telephon ues/duration	e)calls/etc s/minutes/(	Must have found an <b>interval</b> in (a) but quoting values for CI or CLs is <b>not</b> required <b>Ignore additional statements</b> such as those in Notes 3 & 4 below  OE; dependent on BF1  Do <b>NOT</b> ignore conflicting conclusions such as that in Note 5 below  BF0 is within the interval" $\Rightarrow$ BF0 telephone)calls/etc" $\Rightarrow$ BF0	
Notes	CI in (a) (eg 25 is within CI or interval)  Agree with or accept claim or Claim is (likely to be) true/correct/right/valid/accurate/supported/ reasonable/possible  1 Statement must clearly indicate that "25 is within the in 2 Statements of the form "25 is below the UCL" or "25 3 Statements of the form "It/this/mean/value/duration/minute	Bdep1  terval" OE is above the es/(telephon ues/duration	e LCL" ⇒ e)calls/etc as/minutes/(	Must have found an <b>interval</b> in (a) but quoting values for CI or CLs is <b>not</b> required <b>Ignore additional statements</b> such as those in Notes 3 & 4 below  OE; dependent on BF1  Do <b>NOT</b> ignore conflicting conclusions such as that in Note 5 below  BF0 is within the interval" $\Rightarrow$ BF0 telephone)calls/etc" $\Rightarrow$ BF0	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3				
(a)	$Mean = \underline{7.35}$	B2		$\left(\sum x = 588\right)$
	= 7.3  to  7.4	(B1)		AWFW
	Var(n) = 10.5 or $Var(n-1) = 10.6$	B2		AWRT (10.47750 or 10.61013) $(\sum x^2 = 5160)$
	Var $(n \text{ or } n-1) = 10.4 \text{ to } 10.7$	(B1)	4	AWFW
Notes	1 Mean = 182 to 182.4 $\Rightarrow$ B0 B2 max 2 Answer quoted as $3.22^2$ to $3.26^2$ alone $\Rightarrow$ B2 B1 max 3 Answer quoted as 3.22 to 3.26 alone $\Rightarrow$ B2 B0 max 4 If answers not identified, then mark as mean followed by visible.			
SC	1 If and only if B0 B0, then award M1 for at least 4 of 1		11, 13 seen	or for $\frac{(508 \text{ to } 668)}{80}$ or $(6.35 \text{ to } 8.35)$
(b)				
	Mean = $182$ to $182.4$	B1		AWFW; <b>irrespective</b> of value quoted/stated as mean in (a)
	Mean = $\underline{175 + (\text{mean in (a)})}$	(Bdep1)		Evaluated (at least 3sf) using value quoted/stated as mean in (a) and dep on 6 < Mean < 9 in (a)
	Var $(n \text{ or } n-1) = \underline{10.4 \text{ to } 10.7}$	B1		AWFW; <b>irrespective</b> of value quoted/stated as variance in (a)
	Var(n  or  n-1) = <b>value of Var stated in (a)</b>	(Bdep1)	2	Must be <b>identical</b> (at least 3 sf) to value quoted/stated as variance in (a) and dep on 9 < Var < 12 in (a)
(c)	Mean = <u>5.97 or 5.98 or 5.99</u>	B1		CAO (5.98261)
	$Var = \frac{\left(Var(b) \text{ or } Var(a)\right)}{30.48^2 \text{ or } 929}$ $Var = \left(\frac{\left(Sd(a) \text{ or } Sd(b)\right)}{30.48}\right)^2$	Mdep1		Dep on $9 < \mathbf{Var} < 12$ in (a) or (b) $(30.48^2 = 929.0304)$ Dep on $3 < \mathbf{Sd} < 3.5$ in (a) or (b)
	Var $(n \text{ or } n-1) = 0.0112 \text{ or } 0.0113 \text{ or } 0.0114 \text{ or } 0.0115$	A1	3	CAO (0.0113 or 0.0114)
		7D 4 3	Δ.	
		Total	9	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4 (a)				
	$r = \frac{-0.524}{= -0.52 \text{ to } -0.53}$ $= \frac{-0.4 \text{ to } -0.6}{= -0.4 \text{ to } -0.6}$	B3 (B2) (B1)		AWRT (-0.52387) AWFW AWFW
	Attempt at $\sum x \sum x^2 \sum y \sum y^2 \& \sum xy$ or Attempt at $S_{xx} S_{yy} \& S_{xy}$	(M1)		7631 4496183 5031 1966807 & <b>2943644</b> (all 5 attempted) 16786 19810 & <b>-9553</b> (all 3 attempted) M0 mo A0 if r not in [-1, +1]
	Attempt at substitution into <b>correct</b> corresponding formula for $r$	(m1)		
	r = -0.524	(A1)	3	AWRT
(b) Notes	Moderate or some negative (linear) correlation  1 Statements must include the words "moderate or some a	Bdep1	e" or "enu	Dependent on $-0.6 \le r \le -0.4$
Notes	"association" or "relationship"; ignore additional comme  Use of any of the following terms (even in conjunction wit little or small or weak or slight or fairly or mild or in the conjunction with th	nts unless c h moderate	learly contra or some): "	adictory strong or high or big or good or low or
	between			
	total weight(s) of 4 apples and 3 bananas	B1	2	Context; providing $-1 < r < 1$
Notes	1 "As weights of (4) apples increase weights of (3) bananas of the second of the secon		DE) Bdep0	B1
SCs	Special cases 1 and 2 depend upon −1 < r < 1  1 Any answer suggesting that the correlation between the way answer suggesting that the correlation between the way nonsensical/tenuous/dodgy/rubbish/OE ⇒ B2			
(c)	Howard's claim suggests <b>positive</b> correlation but Hilda's data/calculated value shows <b>negative</b> correlation	Bdep1		Correct comparison of claim and calculated value using <b>positive and negative</b> Dependent on $-1 < r < 0$
	Disagree with or reject claim			
	or Claim is (likely to be) false/incorrect/wrong/invalid/inaccurate/ unsupported/ unreasonable	Bdep1	2	OE; dependent on Bdep1
			2	
		Total	7	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5(a)	Accept the equivalent percentage answers with %-sign (s	ee GN5)		
(i)	P(X < 105) = 0.5  or  1/2  or half or  50%	B1	(1)	CAO; accept nothing else but ignore zeros after 0.5 (eg 0.50)  Ignore additional words providing that they are not contradictory
(ii)	$P(X \neq 100) = 1 \text{ or one or unity or } 100\%$	B1	(1)	CAO; accept nothing else but ignore zeros after decimal point (eg 1.00)  Ignore additional words providing that they are not contradictory (eg certain so = 1)
(iii)	$P(X > 110) = P\left(Z > \frac{110 - 105}{4}\right) =$	M1		Standardising <b>110</b> with <b>105</b> and <b>4</b> but allow (105 – 110)
	P(Z > 1.25) = 1 - 0.89435 = 0.105  to  0.106	A2	(3)	AWFW (0.10565)
SCs	1 Answer of 0.894 to 0.895 ⇒ M1 A1 2 Correct seen standardisation with 0 < incorrect answer 3 Incorrect or no seen standardisation with 0 < incorrect		M1 A1	M1 A0
(iv)	$P(102 < X < 108) = P(\underline{-0.75} < Z < \underline{0.75})$	M1	0.5 -> 1	CAO -0.75 and +0.75
	= (p - (1 - p)) or $(2p - 1)$	M1		OE; $0Independent of previous M1$
	= 0.77337 - (1 - 0.77337)			
	= <u>0.546 to 0.547</u>	A1	(3)	AWFW (0.54674)
(1)			8	
(b) (i)	$5\% (0.05) \Rightarrow z = 1.64 \text{ to } 1.65$	B1		AWFW (1.64485) Seen; ignore sign
	$\frac{\pm ((150 \text{ or } 170) - 160)}{\sigma / s} = \pm (1.64 \text{ to } 1.65)$	M1		Standardising 150 with 160 and $\sigma/s$ ; allow $(160-(150 \text{ or } 170))$ and equating to $\pm(1.64 \text{ to } 1.65)$ and with <b>consistent</b> signs
	$\sigma/s = 6.06 \text{ or } 6.08 \text{ or } 6.10$	A1	3	CAO (6.07957) <b>Seen</b> incorrect rounding $\Rightarrow$ A0
Notes	1 Award max of B1 M0 A0 for any inconsistency of signs 2 Award of B0 $\Rightarrow$ 0/3 marks here 3 Whilst the use of $z = 1.96$ ( $\sigma = 5.1$ ) or $z = 1.28$ ( $\sigma = 5.1$ )		3 marks he	re, a max of B1 M1 is available in (b)(ii)(B)
	<b>D</b> ( ( ) <b>O</b> (1) ( )	/D 4 1	11	
	Parts (a) & (b)(i)	Total	11	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments	
5	Continued				
	Parts (a) & (b)(i)	Total	11		
(b)(ii) (A)	P(Y > 150) = 1 - 0.05 = 0.95				
	$P(Y_1 \& Y_2 \& Y_3 > 150) = 0.95^3$ = <u>0.857 to 0.858</u>	B1	(1)	AWFW (0.857375)	
Note	<b>1</b> A calculation of $P(Y > 150) = p$ followed by $p^3 \Rightarrow B$	1 only if re	sult falls wi	thin above range	
(B)	$V(\overline{Y}) = \frac{(5.1 \text{ to } 7.9)^{2}}{3}  \text{or}  \frac{(26 \text{ to } 61.1)}{3}$ $\text{or}  \frac{8.6 \text{ to } 20.4}{3}$ $\text{or}  \frac{8.6 \text{ to } 20.4}{\sqrt{3}}$ $P(\overline{Y} > 162.5) = P\left(Z > \frac{162.5 - 160}{(5.1 \text{ to } 7.9)/\sqrt{3}}\right)$	B1		AWFW (12.32038)  Can be implied by what follows  AWFW (3.51004)  Standardising 162.5 with 160 and $(5.1 \text{ to } 7.9)/\sqrt{3} \text{ (OE)};$ allow (160 – 162.5)	
	= P(Z > 0.70  to  0.72) $= 0.235  to  0.242$	A1 A1	(4)	AWFW; ignore sign (0.71224) AWFW (0.23816)	
			(4)		
Notes SC	1 Do <b>not</b> give BOD for unclear/dubious/questionable identifications of (A) & (B) 2 If answers to (A) & (B) are not identified, then mark as (A) followed by (B) 3 If answers to (A) & (B) are switched, then 0/5 marks 4 In (B), award of B0 ⇒ 0/4 marks 5 In (B), cubing (0.235 to 0.242) ⇒ B1 M1 A1 A0 (ie not ISW) 6 In (B), for information, use of (5.1 to 7.9) ⇒ z = (0.548 to 0.849) ⇒ p = (0.197 to 0.292) 1 Use of distribution of total in (B):				
<u> </u>	<b>B1</b> for Sd = $(5.1 \text{ to } 7.9)\sqrt{3}$ (OE); <b>M1</b> for P(Z > $(487.5 - A1 \text{ for } 0.235 \text{ to } 0.242 \text{ (AWFW)}$ ; award of B0 $\Rightarrow$ 0/4		to $7.9)\sqrt{3}$	(OE); <b>A1</b> for (0.70 to 0.72)	
		Total	16		

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
6	Accept the equivalent percentage answers with %-sign (s	ee GN5)		
(a) (i)	$P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3) = 0.85^3$			
	= 0.614	В1	(1)	AWRT (0.614125)
(ii)	$P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap B) = 0.85^2 \times 0.10$	M1		OE; do <b>not</b> accept additional terms
	or (0.0722 to 0.0723) or 289/4000 × 3	A1		(0.07225) OE
	= <u>0.216 to 0.217</u>	A1	(3)	AWFW (0.21675)
(iii)	$P(A \cap B \cap C) = 0.85 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$ or (0.0042 to 0.0043) or 17/4000	M1		OE; do <b>not</b> accept additional terms (0.00425)
	or (0.0042 to 0.0043) or 17/4000 × 6	A1		OE
	= 0.025  to  0.026	A1	(3)	AWFW (0.0255)
Notes	1 Deduct 1 mark for at least one fractional final answer of (i) 2 Award A0 for each alternative fractional final answer [eg			4000; (iii) 51/2000
	Part (a)	Total	7	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments	
6	Accept the equivalent percentage answers with %-sign (s				
	Part(a)	Total	7		
(b)					
(i)	$(\alpha)$ P(OD') = $0.10 \times 0.75 + 0.05 \times 0.10$	M1			
	<b>PLUS</b> 0.85 (× 1)	A1		CAO	
	= 0.85 + 0.075 + 0.005 = 0.93	A1		CAO	
	or				
	(β) $P(OD) = 0.10 \times 0.25 + 0.05 \times 0.90$	(M1)			
	$+0.85 \times 0$	(1.11)		See SC 3 below	
	= 0.025 + 0.045 = 0.07	(A1)		CAO	
	P(OD') = 1 - 0.07 = <b>0.93</b>	(A1)		CAO	
	or	(711)			
	Side Effect			Accept probabilities rather than percentages	
	None Slight Severe Total			Accept probabilities rather than percentages	
	Change 0 2.5 4.5 7.0	(B2)		(0, 2.5, 4.5) <b>or</b> (85, 7.5, 0.5) CAO	
	No change 85 7.5 0.5 93.0				
	Total 85 10.0 5.0 100.0	(B1)		0.93 CAO	
		(D1)		0.93 CAO	
			(3)		
Note	1 Agget fractional anguage of 02/100 and 7/100		(3)	L	
SCs	1 Accept fractional answers of 93/100 and 7/100 1 In $(\alpha)$ , P(OD') = $0.10 \times 0.75 + 0.05 \times 0.10 + 0.15$ (× 1) = $0.23 \implies M1$ A0 A0 (max 1 mark)				
SCS	2 In ( $\beta$ ), P(OD) = 0.10 × 0.25 + 0.05 × 0.10 + 0.13 (× 1				
	3 In ( $\beta$ ), P(OD) = 0.10 × 0.25 + 0.05 × 0.90 + (not 0.85)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	then $P(OD') = 0.93 \implies A1 \pmod{2 \text{ marks}}$				
	<b>4</b> In ( $\beta$ ), P(OD) = $0.10 \times 0.25 + 0.05 \times 0.90 + (non-zero)$	term) ⇒	M1 A0 A0	(max 1 mark)	
(ii)					
	1-0.93 0.07	M1		Numerator; OE	
	$P(OD \mid B \cup C) = \frac{1 - 0.93}{0.10 + 0.05}$ or $\frac{0.07}{0.10 + 0.05}$	M1		Denominator	
	0.10 + 0.03			(See Notes 1 & 2 below)	
	$= \frac{7/15 \text{ or } 0.466 \text{ to } 0.467 \text{ or } 0.46}{1.000000000000000000000000000000000000$	A1		CAO/AWFW/CAO (0.46667)	
	or				
	$P(OD \mid R \mapsto C)$ $\frac{2}{2} \cdot 0.25 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0.25$	(M1)		Either term (OE)	
	$P(OD   B \cup C) = \frac{2}{3} \times 0.25 + \frac{1}{3} \times 0.9$	(M1)		PLUS other term (OE)	
	= 7/15 or 0.466 to 0.467 or 0.46	(A1)		CAO/AWFW/CAO (0.46667)	
		()		(0.10007)	
	From toble P(OD   P + + C)				
	From table, $P(OD   B \cup C)$				
	0.42	(D2)		CAO/AWEW/CAO	
	= 7/15 or 0.466 to 0.467 or 0.46	(B3)		CAO/AWFW/CAO (0.46667)	
		L	(3)	L	
Notes	1 A mark of M1 may be available in a fraction even if the r				
	2 Values of (1 – 0.93) or 0.07 or 0.15 seen but <b>not in a fr</b>	action and	6	infect answer → Ivio Ivio (Au)	
			U		
		Total	12		
	1	Total	13		

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
7	Accept 3 dp rounding of probabilities from tables		he equivale	ent percentage answers with %-sign (see GN5)
(a) (i)	P(Blond = 5) = $\binom{30}{5} (0.25)^5 (0.75)^{25}$ = 142506 × 0.00097656 × 0.00075254 or = 0.2026 - 0.0979	M1		Correct expression Can be implied by a <b>correct</b> answer Ignore additional expressions
	= <u>0.104 to 0.105</u>	A1	2	AWFW (0.104728 / 0.1047)
(ii)	P(Blond < 10) = <u><b>0.803</b></u>	B1	1	AWRT (0.8034)
(iii)	$P(6 \le Blond \le 12) =$			
	$0.9784$ <b>or</b> $0.9493$ $(p_1)$	M1		Seen as <b>first</b> term in a <b>subtraction</b>
	MINUS			
	$0.2026$ or $0.3481$ $(p_2)$	M1		Seen as <b>second</b> term in a <b>subtraction</b>
	= 0.775  to  0.776	A1	3	AWFW (0.7758)
Notes	<b>1</b> For no method <b>or</b> calculation of individual terms: award <b>B2</b> for 0.630 to 0.631 (AWFW); <b>B2</b> for 0.601 to 0.602 ( <b>2</b> Answers seen using $[(0.7974 \text{ or } 0.6519) - (0.0216 \text{ or } (0.7974 \text{ or } 0.6519)]$ even after $(p_1 - p_2)$ <b>4</b> Use of $p_1 \times p_2$ or $p_1 \div p_2$ or $p_1 + p_2$ or $p_1$ only or $p_2$ on	(AWFW); <b>I</b> 0.0507)] [ie [eg 1 – (0.	<b>80</b> for anyth $(1 - p_2) - 9784 - 0.20$	hing else $(1-p_1)$ ] $\Rightarrow$ M1 M1 A1 max
(iv)	Mean = $np = 7.5 \Rightarrow P(Blond \ge 8)$			
	= 1 - 0.5143	M2		
	= (1 - 0.6736) or $0.3264$			
	or = $0.5143$	(M1)		
	or = $(1 - 0.3481)$ or $0.6519$			
	= <u>0.485 to 0.486</u>	A1	3	AWFW (0.4857)
Note	1 For calculation of individual terms or no method: award B1 for 0.514 to 0.515 (AWFW); B1 for 0.651 to 0.652			
	Part(a)	Total	9	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments		
7	Accept 3 dp rounding of probabilities from tables					
	Part(a)	Total	9			
(b) (i)	$Mean = \underline{np = 16}$	B1		Equating; seen or used		
	$np(1-p)$ or $npq$ or $\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ or $\sqrt{npq}$ = 2.4 <sup>2</sup> or 5.76 or 2.4 but not $\sqrt{2.4}$	M1		Equating; seen or used		
	$np(1-p)$ or $npq = 2.4^2$ or 5.76	A1		Equating; seen or used		
	p = 0.64 and $n = 25$	A1 A1	5	Each CAO		
Notes	<ul> <li>1 Equating npq to 2.4 (OE) then ⇒ B1 M1 A0 A0 A0 (max) followed by M0 A0 in (ii)</li> <li>2 For any method, answer of p = 0.64 (CAO) and n = 25 (CAO) ⇒ 5 marks</li> <li>3 For method of 'trial &amp; improvement':     B1 (equating/use of np = 16); M1 (at least one seen trial combination of either integer n or 0  </li></ul>					
(ii)	$P(Y = 20) = {25 \choose 20} (0.64)^{20} (0.36)^{5}$ = 53130 × 0.00013292 × 0.0060466	M1		Correct expression Can be implied by a <b>correct</b> answer Do <b>not</b> ignore additional expressions		
	= <u>0.0426 to 0.0428</u>	A1	2	AWFW (0.042702)		
		Total	16			