

# **GCE AS MARKING SCHEME**

**SUMMER 2018** 

AS (NEW) PHYSICS AS UNIT 2 2420U20-1

## **INTRODUCTION**

This marking scheme was used by WJEC for the 2018 examination. It was finalised after detailed discussion at examiners' conferences by all the examiners involved in the assessment. The conference was held shortly after the paper was taken so that reference could be made to the full range of candidates' responses, with photocopied scripts forming the basis of discussion. The aim of the conference was to ensure that the marking scheme was interpreted and applied in the same way by all examiners.

It is hoped that this information will be of assistance to centres but it is recognised at the same time that, without the benefit of participation in the examiners' conference, teachers may have different views on certain matters of detail or interpretation.

WJEC regrets that it cannot enter into any discussion or correspondence about this marking scheme.

#### **AS UNIT 2 – ELECTRICITY AND LIGHT**

#### **MARK SCHEME**

#### **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

## Recording of marks

Examiners must mark in red ink.

One tick must equate to one mark (except for the extended response question).

Question totals should be written in the box at the end of the question.

Question totals should be entered onto the grid on the front cover and these should be added to give the script total for each candidate.

#### Marking rules

All work should be seen to have been marked.

Marking schemes will indicate when explicit working is deemed to be a necessary part of a correct answer.

Crossed out responses not replaced should be marked.

Credit will be given for correct and relevant alternative responses which are not recorded in the mark scheme.

# Extended response question

A level of response mark scheme is used. Before applying the mark scheme please read through the whole answer from start to finish. Firstly, decide which level descriptor matches best with the candidate's response: remember that you should be considering the overall quality of the response. Then decide which mark to award within the level. Award the higher mark in the level if there is a good match with both the content statements and the communication statement.

## Marking abbreviations

The following may be used in marking schemes or in the marking of scripts to indicate reasons for the marks awarded.

cao = correct answer only ecf = error carried forward bod = benefit of doubt

	0	ation.		Moulting details		Marks a	vailable			
	Que	stion		Marking details	AO1 AO2 AO3 Total		Maths	Prac		
1	(a)	(i)		Energy (or work) /charge <b>or</b> energy (or work) per coulomb [accept joules per coulomb] (1) The above put correctly into context, e.g. Energy given by battery / energy transfer from chemical [or to electrical]. [Free standing mark] (1)	1			2		
		(ii)		Method 1 $I = \frac{4.33 \text{ V}}{6.60 \Omega} [= 0.656 \text{ A}] \text{ or by implication (1)}$ $r = \frac{4.80 [\text{V}] - 4.33 [\text{V}]}{0.656 [\text{A}]} = 0.71 / 0.72 / 0.7 \Omega \text{ (1)}$ Method 2 Any correct and relevant pot div equation e.g. $\frac{r}{6.6 \Omega} = \frac{4.80 \text{ V} - 4.33 \text{ V}}{4.33 \text{ V}} \text{ or } 4.33 = \frac{4.80 \times 6.60}{r + 6.60} \text{ (1)}$ $r = 0.71 / 0.72 / 0.7 \Omega \text{ (1)}$ See additional guidance for methods assuming 0.7 Ω	1	1		2	2	
		(iii)	II	More current (1) Therefore greater $Ir$ [accept: greater lost volts] (1) NB $V = E - Ir$ on its own doesn't score.  or Ratio (ext res)/ $r$ lower (1) So ratio (ext pd)/(pd aross $r$ ) less (1) or equivalents  Current in either resistor = $\frac{3.35}{3.30}$ [= 1.02 / 1.015 A](1) Division by $e$ at any stage (1) [e.g. $\rightarrow$ 61 C]	1	1		2		
				Electrons in 1 minute = $3.8 \times 10^{20}$ C, <b>ecf</b> on <i>I</i> [NB electrons through parallel combination = $7.6 \times 10^{20} \rightarrow (2)$ ]		3		3	2	

0	estion	Marking dataile		Marks a	vailable			-
QU	iestion	Marking details	AO1	AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
(b)	(i)	$R = \frac{V^2}{P} \text{ or } \left[ I = \frac{1000 \text{ W}}{230 \text{ V}} \text{ and } R = \frac{V}{I} \right] $ (1) $R = 53 \Omega \text{ [accept early rounding] (1)}$	1	1		2	2	
	(ii)	3.6 [MJ]		1		1	1	
(c)		If electric heating is used rather than gas, for a given heating effect more $\underline{CO_2}$ produced [ or more gas, a non-renewable resource [accept: fossil fuel] is used]. (1)  Greater contribution to climate change/global warming [so use of electric heaters <i>should</i> be discouraged] (1) <b>Any one of</b> • [But] higher cost of electricity [per kW] is discouragement enough  • [But] not all power stations <i>are</i> gas-fired. [Some eg nuclear, wind, don't produce $CO_2$ ]  • [But] not all homes have gas available  • $CO_2$ absorbs long-wavelength infrared (or infrared emitted from Earth's surface) in context of climate change  • Any other relevant and non-trivial point e.g. safety issue with naked flames / $\underline{CO}$ emission / gas leaks  Example of point not worth credit: "it's none of anyone else's business how I heat my home" – the word in the question was "discouraged" / electric heaters more expensive to run			3	3		
		Question 1 total	5	7	3	15	7	0

# Additional guidance for 1(a)(ii)

# Method 3

[Assuming that]  $r = 0.7 \Omega$ :

Total resistance =  $7.30 \Omega$ 

$$\therefore \text{ Current} = \frac{4.80[V]}{7.30[\Omega]} = 0.658 \text{ A}$$
 (1)

Terminal pd,  $V = E - Ir = 4.80 - 0.685 \times 0.7$ 

So the initial assumption is correct

## Method 4

[Assuming that]  $r = 0.7 \Omega$ :

[Total resistance =  $7.30 \Omega$ ]

Using the potential divider equation:

$$V = E \times \frac{R}{R+r} = 4.80 \left[ V \right] \times \frac{6.60 \left[ \Omega \right]}{7.30 \left[ \Omega \right]}$$
 equation used correctly (1)

So the initial assumption is correct

	Question	Marking dataila		Marks a	vailable			
	Question	Marking details	AO1	AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
2	(a)	$I = 0.0012  \mathrm{A}$ and $R_{\mathrm{tot}} = 5000  \Omega$ or $V_{\mathrm{therm}} = 4.80  \mathrm{V}$ or $\frac{R_{\mathrm{th}}}{1000  \Omega} = \frac{4.8  \mathrm{V}}{1.2  \mathrm{V}}$ or any other correct and relevant potential divider equation or by implication (1) $R_{\mathrm{therm}} = 4000  \Omega$ (1) $\theta = 15^{\circ}  \mathrm{ecf}  \mathrm{on}  R_{\mathrm{therm}} (1)$		3		3	3	
	(b)	Graph gradient changes [with $\theta$ ] or graph not straight [ <b>or</b> voltmeter reading doesn't vary linearly with $R_{\text{therm}}$ ] or $\Delta V$ not proportional to $\Delta R$ (1) $n$ not constant [with varying $\theta$ ] / he is wrong [only award if backed up by reasonable argument] (1)			2	2	1	
	(c)	So thermistor [accept: 'it'] doesn't heat up (1) Reference to electrical heating [even of circuit or resistor] or masking response to surroundings (or equiv) (1)		2		2		
		Question 2 total	0	5	2	7	4	0

	0	action	Mayking dataila		Marks a	vailable			
	Que	estion	Marking details	AO1	AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
3	(a)	(i)	1.79 eV = 2.86 × 10 <sup>-19</sup> J or by implication (1) Use of $hf = E_{\rm U} - E_{\rm L}$ and $\lambda = \frac{c}{f}$ , or $\lambda = \frac{hc}{E_{\rm U} - E_{\rm L}}$ or equiv (1) $\lambda = 694  [\pm 1]  {\rm n[m]}  /  6.9 \times 10^{-7}  [{\rm m]}  (1)$	1	1		3	2	
		(ii)	So a photon is more likely to cause stimulated emission than to be absorbed / more stimulated emission than absorption (or so more photons cause SE than are absorbed) (1) So number of photons increases [rather than decreases] / light builds up / 1 photon → 2 photons (1)	2			2		
	(b)	(i)	photons per second = $\frac{6.0 \times 10^{-3} [W]}{2.86 \times 10^{-19} [J]}$ <b>ecf</b> even if slips (eg by 10") (1) 2.09 × 10 <sup>16</sup> [s <sup>-1</sup> ] (1)	1	1		2	1	
		(ii)	photon momentum = $\frac{6.63\times10^{-34}\left[\mathrm{J~s}\right]}{693\times10^{-9}\left[\mathrm{m}\right]}\left[=9.55\times10^{-28}\mathrm{N~s}\right] \text{or by}$ implication <b>ecf</b> on $\lambda$ (1) $\text{or beam momentum per second} = \frac{0.006\left[\mathrm{W}\right]}{c}\text{or by implication}$ beam momentum per second = $2.0\times10^{-11}[\mathrm{N}]$ (1) $[1.91\times10^{-11}\mathrm{N~if}2\times10^{16}\mathrm{used}]$	1	1		2	2	
		(iii)	$4.0 \times 10^{-11}$ N (( <b>unit</b> )) <b>ecf</b> from (ii), i.e. $2 \times$ answer to (ii) But not if answer to (ii) was 0.		1		1	1	
			Question 3 total	5	5	0	10	6	0

	0.	estion	Marking details		Marks av	vailable			
	GU	iestion	marking details		AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
4	(a)		$T = 0.60$ [s] <b>or</b> $f = 1.67$ [Hz] in working or by implication (1) Sinusoid of correct frequency and amplitude drawn from $t = 0$ to $t = 1$ s (1) Reasonable graph: Correct phase (–sin $\omega t$ ) (1)		3		3	2	
	(b)	(i)	1500 [nm] $\sin 24.9^{\circ} = \lambda$ or by implication (1) $\lambda = 632$ nm (1)	1	1		2	2	
		(ii)	Diagram of right-angled triangle (by eye) with 57.4° (accept $\theta$ ) marked in a correct position [i.e. wavefront from bottom slit perpendicular to top direction] (1) Either $2\lambda$ (or path difference) marked on diagram or statement that path difference [for light from adjacent slits] = $2\lambda$ (1) $57.4^{\circ}$ (or $\theta$ ) = $\sin^{-1}\frac{2\lambda}{d}$ or equivalent [e.g. $\sin^{-1}(2\sin 24.9^{\circ})$ ] (1) [Last mark free-standing]	1	1		3		
			Question 4 total	3	5	0	8	4	0

	Ouo	stion	Marking details		Marks a	vailable			
	Que	Suon	marking dotails		AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
5	(a)	(i)	$\lambda \ge 25 \text{mm} / \text{gap} / w. [\text{Accept} >, \text{not} =]$				1		1
		(ii)	Intensity increased straight in front of gap (or equivalent) [or more total power – accept total intensity – passes through gap] (1) Intensity reduced at large angles to normal / 'at the sides' [accept: waves don't diffract as much] (1)			2	2		2
	(b)		$S_1P = 250  \text{mm}$ (1) $S_2P = 264  \text{mm}$ (1) Path difference = 14 mm <b>or</b> by implication <b>ecf</b> on $S_1P$ and $S_2P$ (1) $\lambda = 28  \text{mm}$ (1) NB Use of Young slits formula $\rightarrow$ 0 marks	1	1 1		4	2	
			Question 5 total	2	3	2	7	2	3

	Oues	ation	Mouking dotailo	Marks available					
	Ques	Stion	Marking details	AO1	AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
6	(a)	(i)	0.327 m (1) ± 1.5% (1) No s.f. penalty		2		2	2	2
		(ii)	335 m s <sup>-1</sup> (1) <b>ecf</b> [allow 334.9] ± 8 m s <sup>-1</sup> (1) <b>ecf</b> [allow 8.4] Allow 1 <sub>max</sub> for incorrect / inconsistent sf		2		2	2	2
	(b)	(i)	$x = \frac{\lambda}{4}  \text{or } 4x = \lambda(1)$ Use of $v = f\lambda(1)$		2		2	1	2
		(ii)	Node, antinode sequence with antinode at top and node at bottom marked or equiv e.g. string of sausages diagram, with at least one node between top and bottom of air column(1) Correct sequence [A, N, A, N] going downwards or equiv with spacings correct by eye.	1	1		2		2
			Question 6 total	1	7	0	8	5	8

1 1	AO3	Total 3	Maths 2	Prac
1 1			2	
1				
		3	2	
		6		
			6	6

Question	Marking details		Marks available				
Question	Marking details		AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
	1-2 marks Any 2 points made There is a basic line of reasoning which is not coherent, largely irrelevant, supported by limited evidence and with very little structure.  0 marks						
	No attempt made or no response worthy of credit.						
	Question 7 total	9	3	0	12	4	0

	0	.ootion	Mayking dataila		Marks a	vailable			
	Qu	estion	Marking details	AO1	AO2	AO3	Total	Maths	Prac
8	(a)	(i)	No emission if photon energy $< \phi$ [or emission only if photon energy $> \phi$ ](1) Convincing argument, clearly implying that photon energy $= hf$						
			and leading to no emission if $f < \frac{\phi}{h}$ (1) Increasing light intensity just gives more photons <b>or</b> doesn't change [energy of] individual photons <b>or</b> doesn't help because photons don't co-operate (1)	3			3		
		(ii)	Photon energy = $4.37 \times 10^{-19}$ [J] (1) No emission by Ca or Zn (or equiv) (1) $KE_{\rm max} < 0.56 \times 10^{-19}$ J or $\phi > 3.81 \times 10^{-19}$ J or equivalent, e.g. $V_{\rm S}$ = 0.78 V (Cs), 0.43 V (K), 0.21 V (Ba) (1) Therefore Ba (1) [award mark only if attempted justification] See below:			4	4	2	
	(b)	(i)	Acceptable straight line through points (going through origin would be unacceptable)		1		1	1	1
		(ii)	Straight line as predicted (1) But not through origin (or non-zero intercept). Equation predicts through origin (or proportionality) (1)			2	2	1	2
		(iii)	Data from graph put into $\frac{\Delta V}{\Delta f}$ irrespective of slips such as incorrect powers of 10 (1) Accept between $3.90\times 10^{-15}$ and $4.40\times 10^{-15}$ [V Hz <sup>-1</sup> ] and to either 2 or 3 sig figs (1) $h=6.64\times 10^{-34}$ Js <b>ecf</b> from gradient (1)			3	3	2	3
			Question 8 total	3	1	9	13	6	6

# Additional marking guidance for 8(a)(ii)

# Method 1

$$hf = 4.37 \times 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{J}$$
 (1)

For Barium 
$$hf - \phi = 0.34 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$$
 (1)

$$V_{\text{stop}} = 0.21 \text{ V} \tag{1}$$

Therefore barium

# Method 2

$$hf = 4.37 \times 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{J}$$
 (1)

$$h\phi < 4.37 \times 10^{-19} \,\text{J}$$
 or not Ca or Zn (1)

For potassium, Either  $hf - \phi = 0.69 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J}$ 

or 
$$V_{\text{stop}} = 0.43 \text{ V}$$
 (1) um (1)

Therefore barium

# Method 3

$$hf = 4.37 \times 10^{-19} \,\mathrm{J}$$
 (1)

$$h\phi < 4.37 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J or not Ca or Zn}$$
 (1)

$$E_{\rm k} < 0.56 \times 10^{-19} \,\text{J or } \phi > 3.81 \times 10^{-19} \,\text{J}$$
 (1)

[Don't penalise 
$$E_k = 0.56 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J or } \phi = 3.81 \times 10^{-19} \text{ if seen}$$
]

Therefore barium (1)

# **AS UNIT 2: ELECTRICITY AND LIGHT**

# SUMMARY OF MARKS ALLOCATED TO ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES

Question	AO1	AO2	AO3	TOTAL MARK	MATHS	PRAC
1	5	7	3	15	7	0
2	0	5	2	7	4	0
3	5	5	0	10	6	0
4	3	5	0	8	4	0
5	2	3	2	7	2	3
6	1	7	0	8	5	8
7	9	3	0	12	4	0
8	3	1	9	13	6	6
TOTAL	28	36	16	80	38	17